IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE FOURTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND SEVEN

PRESENT

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY**

**WRIT PETITION No. 19078 of 2001**

Between:
A.Rama Krishna Reddy

**….. PETITIONER**

AND
The Superintendent of Police,
Kurnool District, Kurnool and 2 others

**.....RESPONDENTS**

**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY**

**WRIT PETITION No.19078 of 2001**

**ORDER:**

This writ petition is filed by the petitioner, seeking declaration that the action of the respondents 1 and 2 in interfering with the civil disputes between the petitioner and the 3rd respondent, as illegal and without jurisdiction, and consequentially, sought for a direction to the respondents not to interfere with the life and liberty of the petitioner.

It is stated that the petitioner executed an agreement of sale in favour of the 3rd respondent for selling his house for Rs.70,000/-. The said agreement was executed on 15.11.1997 on payment of Rs.35,000/- , and the remaining amount should be paid within two years from the date of execution of agreement of sale, and on such payment, the petitioner must register the house in favour of the 3rd respondent. But, the 3rd respondent failed to pay the balance consideration within the time stipulated, and on the other hand, demanded to pay back the consideration paid under the agreement of sale. The petitioner refused to pay back the consideration and on that the 3rd respondent filed a complaint before the 2nd respondent, and basing on which, the respondents are indulging in the act of interference with the personal life and liberty of the petitioner. Therefore, sought for appropriate orders.

A counter is filed on behalf of the respondents, disputing and denying the allegations made by the petitioner. It is stated that a complaint was lodged by the 3rd respondent, basing on which, the

petitioner and the 3rd respondent were called to the police station for enquiry and after enquiry, both the parties were advised to settle the matter by approaching the competent Civil Court, without causing law and order situation. The respondents disputed that they have been indulging in civil disputes and interfering with the life and liberty of the petitioner.

Heard both sides and considered the material on record.

In view of the statement of the respondents that they were not indulging in the civil disputes, and on the other hand, both the parties were called when a complaint was filed by the 3rd respondent and were advised to approach the competent civil Court, the petitioner is not entitled to any relief in the present writ petition.

The Writ Petition is, accordingly, dismissed. No order as to costs.

_____

**S.ANANDA REDDY,J**

Dated: 04.07.2007
Dsr